

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/067,190	SHIOKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard L. Raymond	1624	

All Participants:

(1) Richard L. Raymond.

(2) Kurt G. Briscoe.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 2 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Supplemental specification incorporating the Certificate of Correction changes will be put in e-DAN by the examiner. An Examiner's Amendment putting claim 1, 2 and 9 in proper reissue form will also be done.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)